The Task Force on Shared Governance met on Thursday, March 10, 2014 at 3:15 pm in Room 408, Manderino Library.

The following were in attendance:
- Dr. Carol Bocetti,
- Mr. Brendon Demmy,
- Dr. Dan Engstrom (designee for Dr. Bruce Barnhart),
- Dr. Stan Komacek,
- Dr. Linda Meyer,
- Dr. Michael Slavin,
- Dr. Craig Smith,
- Mr. Robert Thorn (left at 4:15 pm),
- Ms. Sheleta Webb.

The minutes from the February 27, 2014 were presented. Minutes were approved by a unanimous vote.

**Comprehensive chart of current system and Spreadsheet of groups – category-role**

The task force was provided with copies of the comprehensive chart of current system and a spreadsheet of groups for review and revisions. The task force considered the comprehensive chart of the current system and the spreadsheet of dialogue areas and constituent voices to determine if any areas of voices should be added to or eliminated. Dr. Smith offered several areas to consider as dialogue areas; Ms. Webb offered student affairs as an additional constituent voice. The task force agreed each dialogue area will need a description or definition of its scope and content. Mr. Demmy agreed to prepare a diagram and explain how student government works with SAI (as a model.) The task force agreed to the creation of two large dialogue areas:

1. Academic Life consists of academic quality, academic standards, academic policies, academic advising and registration, academic freedom, research and technological integration.
2. Faculty Life consists of promotion, sabbatical, tenure, professional development (which includes conference attendance), faculty evaluations, faculty research, service, advisement, the teaching and learning center, and faculty workload (which includes what’s required by the CBA and other requirements to fulfill professional obligations).

The task force also agreed to consider broad dialogue areas in Student Life (which consists of student housing, student union, student government, conduct board, multiculturalism, veterans, and SAI); other dialogue areas discussed included schedule development, travel, and Staff Life. Other dialogue areas still to be considered or consolidated include athletics, budget, curriculum, departments/programs, facilities/space utilization, safety/welfare, technology, capital projects/renovations, diversity/equity, governance, leadership (administrative/management), and planning/annual goals. The task force will consider how to align constituent voices with dialogue areas at its next meeting.

**Next Meeting – timeframe available**

The task force discussed a timeframe for the next meeting and it was unanimously agreed the next meeting should take place the week after spring break.

Meeting adjourned at 4:30 pm.